Page ${\bf 1}$ of ${\bf 3}$

ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)

& The Hon'ble Mr. Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

Case No -<u>OA 1301 OF 2013</u>

DR. ASHIM KR. DAS <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>20</u> 04.12.2018	For the Applicant : Mr. S. N. Ray Advocate For the Respondents: Mr. S. Ghosh Advocate	
	Though the matter has appeared in the list under the heading "To Be Mentioned", Mr. S.N. Ray, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the matter may be heard which is not opposed by Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents, in his usual fairness.	
	In this application, the applicant, Dr. Ashim Kumar Das, has stated that he was appointed as Medical Officer on 13 th October, 1982 and was confirmed on 13 th October, 1985. It has been stated that during his tenure, due to ill health and other personal grounds, he could not attend his duties and he remained absent till his date of superannuation. According to the applicant his date of superannuation was 4 th November, 2011. The applicant also stated that before his retirement neither any departmental proceeding was initiated nor any notice of superannuation was served. Prayer is for a direction upon	

ORDER SHEET

Serial No. and Date of order. Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessaries and the respondents to release retiral benefits including pension. Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessaries and dated signature of parties when necessaries and the respondents to release retiral benefits including pension. Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessaries and the respondents to release retiral benefits including when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not stated about his status at the last attending office.	У
1 of parties when necessaring 1 the respondents to release retiral benefits including pension. In this application, the applicant has not stated when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	У
the respondents to release retiral benefits including pension. In this application, the applicant has not stated when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
pension. In this application, the applicant has not stated when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
In this application, the applicant has not stated when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
when he had last attended office and there are no particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
particulars about his attending offices and he has not	
stated about his status at the last attending office.	
We find that on 3 rd February, 2016, leave was	
granted to the applicant to file supplementary affidavit.	
Since it was not complied with, further leave was granted	
on 4 th April, 2016 to file supplementary affidavit.	
Ultimately, supplementary affidavit was filed on 9 th	
August, 2016. In the supplementary affidavit, we find	
there is neither any mention about status of the applicant	
nor any mention when he had last attended office. It	
appears from the said supplementary affidavit that in an	
appeal filed by the applicant, that is, FMA 2581 of 2002,	
the Division Bench of the Calcutta High Court, on 14th	
October, 1993, had disposed of the same by staying the	
order of transfer. The applicant was granted liberty to	
submit a representation within three days and the	
respondent authorities were directed to dispose of the	
same within three weeks from the date of filing of the	
representation. It appears from the said order that the	
applicant was at liberty to continue at the then posting at	
Alipore until the consideration of the representation. It	

ORDER SHEET

		,
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
	has not been stated whether the applicant had filed the	
	representation and if filed what was the outcome of the	
	said representation. It has not been stated that what was	
	the nature of posting at Alipore in 1993. Since we find	
	that this applicant is bereft of particulars about the service	
	career of the applicant and the supplementary affidavit	
	also does not disclose the details of his service career, no	
	order can be passed on this application. Moreover, we	
	find that since the cause of action arose in the mid 1990s,	
	it is hit by Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunals Act,	
SCN.	1985 which prescribes period of limitation for filing an	
	application before the Tribunal. So, in view of the above	
	no order can be passed on this application as it is without	
	merit and hence dismissed.	
	(S.K. Das) (Soumitra Pal)	
	MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	